STAT

STAT

STAT

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET			
SUBJECT: (Optional)			
DOD Authorization Bill/Polygraph Restriction			
FROM:		EXTENSION	NO.
General Counsel			DATE
			14 July 1983
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE	OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom
	RECEIVED FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
1. DDCI			Attached for your signature is
			Attached for your signature is a letter to SSCI Chairman
2. ((ar George		-	Goldwater expressing concern
nas seen			over the polygraph restriction provision contained in the DOD
3.			Authorization Bill, which is
DCI			currently being considered on the Senate floor.
4. ER - Hand delives 5. to Rob Viciny 6.			the senate 11001.
ER - * Hand delly	er		This letter has been requested
5. +0 ROD	Simmens		by SSCI staff chief Rob Simmons to aid in the SSCI's efforts
<i>a</i>	topia Sura		to have the provision stricken
6 .	or		from the Bill or to at least mitigate its damaging aspects.
14	ettlewell, 5	SCI	
7. DD DIEGE CONDUNCTION	\$ 7	4(2)	
VIA SPECIAL COURIER	<u>'LY</u>		Stanley Sporkin
8.			Attachment
"70: OGC			Was heen cleased a/OMB
10.			
11.			
12.			
13.			
14.			
15.			
		ľ	

Approved For Release 2008/10/24 : CIA-RDP86B00338R000300350013-9

FORM 1-79 610 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

Approved For Release 2008/10/24: CIA-RDP86B00338R000300350013-9

The Director of Central Intelligence

Washington D C 2050\$

OGC 83-05925 1 4 JUL 1983

Honorable Barry M. Goldwater Chairman Select Committee on Intelligence United States Senate Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

I am writing to express to you my grave concern with legislation pending in the Senate which would restrict the use of polygraph examinations by the Department of Defense. The restriction on use of the polygraph contained in Section 1007 of S. 675, the Fiscal Year 1984 Defense Authorization Bill, would impair my ability as Director of Central Intelligence to fulfill my statutory and other legal responsibilities for the protection of intelligence sources and methods.

The Director of Central Intelligence is by statute responsible for the protection of intelligence sources and methods (50 U.S.C. §403(d)(3)). The Director of Central Intelligence is also responsible for ensuring the establishment by the Intelligence Community of common security and access standards for managing and handling foreign intelligence systems, information, and products (Executive Order 12333, §1.5(g)) and for ensuring that programs are developed which protect intelligence sources, methods, and analytical procedures (id., §1.5(h)). Finally, the Director of Central Intelligence is responsible for establishing special access controls and standards for sensitive compartmented information (SCI), which consists of extremely sensitive intelligence information (Executive Order 12356, §4.2(a)).

Department of Defense personnel have access to a great deal of intelligence information, including SCI and information relating to intelligence sources and methods, produced by the various elements of the Intelligence Community. As Director of Central Intelligence, I thus have a direct legal and practical interest in the use or non-use of the polygraph as a security method with respect to Department of Defense personnel. Under very carefully delimited and controlled circumstances, polygraph examinations currently may be required of personnel of the Department of Defense who hold positions which involve access to extremely sensitive intelligence information. New restrictions on the use of polygraph examinations with respect to Department of Defense personnel, and on the use of the information derived therefrom, could jeopardize the security of intelligence information, sources, and methods.

I cannot effectively fulfill my statutory and other legal responsibilities for the protection of intelligence sources and methods if Department of Defense personnel, who must have access to intelligence information to perform their duties, are to be specially exempted by statute from security practices applicable to the personnel of other agencies who have equivalent access to such information. For this reason, I strongly oppose Section 1007 of S. 675. I would note that this provision was attached to the Defense Authorization Bill without the benefit of any hearings or substantial discussion in committee. A legislative decision with such a potentially grave impact on the security of our nation's most sensitive secrets deserves much more thorough consideration.

Sanzerely

William J. Case

cc:

Honorable John G. Tower Chairman, Committee on Armed Services

Honorable Strom Thurmond Chairman, Committee on the Judiciary

Honorable Daniel P. Moynihan Vice Chairman, Select Committee on Intelligence

Honorable Henry M. Jackson Ranking Minority Member Committee on Armed Services

Honorable Joseph R. Biden Ranking Minority Member Committee on the Judiciary

(OGC: Dsitribution:

bution:

1 - DCI

1 - DDCI

1 - Ex Dir

1 - ER

1 - Chrono

l - Chrono

1 - OGC Chrono

1 - LED File: Polygraph (98th)

STAT

STAT

